

App. No. 10/065,119

REMARKS - General


Also applicants have rewritten all claims to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and define the invention patentably over the prior art. The new claims is as a combination is novel as is it not covered by US Patent No. to Doherty, US Patent App. 2001/0034712 to Colvin, U.S. Pat. App. 2002/0166056 to Johnson, U.S. Pat. App. No. 2003/0187801 to Chase.

Applicant also respectfully argues that Chase is not a proper prior art reference as Chase was file less than six months prior to Applicants application which does not predate the Applicant's inventive date.

Conclusion

For all of the above reasons, applicant submits that the specification and claims are now in proper form, and that the claims all define patentably over prior art. Therefore the applicant submits that this application is now in condition for allowance, which action is respectfully solicited.


Respectfully submitted,



Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq.
Registration No. 38,146

I hereby certify I have transmitted this paper by fax to the Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300 on August 7, 2006.

August 7, 2006.



Jeffrey M. Furr, Esq, Reg. No. 38,146.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

App. No. 10/065,119

In the Drawings:

Corrected Drawings are attached.